#### Virtual Physics Equation-Based Modeling

TUM, November 25, 2014

3D Mechanics, Part I



#### **3D Mechanics**



In this lecture, we look at the modeling of 3D mechanical systems.

- 3D mechanical models look superficially just like planar mechanical models. There are additional types of joints, but other than that, there seem to be few surprises.
- Yet, the seemingly similar appearance is deceiving. There are a substantial number of complications that the modeler has to cope with when dealing with 3D mechanics. These are the subject of this lecture.

#### **3D Mechanics**



Essentially, there are 3 major difficulties we have to cope with:

- 1. There are multiple ways to express the orientation of a body in three dimensional space.
- 2. In planar mechanics, all potential variables could be expressed in one common coordinate system: The inertial system. In 3Dmechanics, such an approach is unfeasible.
- 3. The set of connector variables contains a redundant set of variables. This causes severe problems for the formulation of kinematic loops.

#### Orientation



There are 4 major variants to express the orientation of an object in 3D

y



- The rotation matrix
- Planar rotation
- Cardan angles
- Quaternions

#### **Orientation Matrix R**

The rotation matrix **R** 

- The orientation of an object is completely defined by the coordinate vectors of its body system.
- The relative orientation between two objects can then be described by a orthonormal matrix: the rotation matrix **R**.
- Given the rotational matrix, we can easily transform vectors between different coordinate systems, e. g.,
   Rω<sub>0</sub> = ω<sub>body</sub>



ŧγ

 $\mathbf{R}^{-1} = \mathbf{R}^{\mathsf{T}}$ 

 $||\mathbf{R}||_{2} = 1$ 



#### **Orientation Matrix R**

The rotation matrix **R** 

- The rotational matrix **R** is highly redundant.
- Each row vector and each column vector of **R** is of length 1, hence there are 6 constraint equations connecting the 9 matrix elements.
- As expected, there are only 3 degrees of freedom, describing the relative rotation of one coordinate system to another.





**Robotics and Mechatronics Centre** 

# The Cardan Angles ( $\varphi_x, \varphi_y, \varphi_z$ )



The cardan angles ( $\varphi_x, \varphi_y, \varphi_z$ )

- A non-redundant form to describe the orientation are cardan angles.
- This technique decomposes the rotation into three subsequent rotations around predetermined axes.
- In this case: first x, then y, finally z.

$$\mathbf{R}_{x} = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \cos(\varphi_{x}) & \sin(\varphi_{x})\\ 0 & -\sin(\varphi_{x}) & \cos(\varphi_{x}) \end{array}\right)$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_{y}) & 0 & -\sin(\varphi_{y}) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin(\varphi_{y}) & 0 & \cos(\varphi_{y}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{z} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_{z}) & \sin(\varphi_{z}) & 0 \\ -\sin(\varphi_{z}) & \cos(\varphi_{z}) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}$ 

## The Cardan Angles ( $\varphi_x, \varphi_y, \varphi_z$ )



The cardan angles ( $\varphi_x, \varphi_y, \varphi_z$ )

- Unfortunately, the decomposition into separate yields a singularity at  $\varphi_y = 90^\circ$ . The other two rotation axes are then aligned and there are infinitely many solutions.
- So cardan angles are only useful, if one can make sure this case won't appear during simulation time.
- The sequence of axis rotation can be chosen arbitrarily. Other sequences are of course possible as well and each valid sequence has a specific point where the systems becomes singular.

$$\mathbf{R}_{x} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \cos(\varphi_{x}) & \sin(\varphi_{x}) \\ 0 & -\sin(\varphi_{x}) & \cos(\varphi_{x}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{R}_{y} = \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_{y}) & 0 & -\sin(\varphi_{y}) \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ \sin(\varphi_{y}) & 0 & \cos(\varphi_{y}) \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \cos(\varphi_{z}) & \sin(\varphi_{z}) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{R}_{z} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \cos(\varphi_{z}) & \sin(\varphi_{z}) & 0\\ -\sin(\varphi_{z}) & \cos(\varphi_{z}) & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right)$$

 $\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{z}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{y}} \cdot \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}}$ 

### The Planar Rotation (n, $\varphi$ )



The planar rotation  $(\mathbf{n}, \boldsymbol{\varphi})$ :

- Every rotation can be regarded as a planar rotation with the angle φ around a certain axis given by a unit vector **n**.
- We therefore have 4 variables and one constraint equation for the unit vector.



$$\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^{T} + (I - \mathbf{n}\mathbf{n}^{T})\cos(\varphi) - \mathbf{\tilde{n}}\sin(\varphi)$$

### The Planar Rotation (n, $\varphi$ )



The planar rotation  $(\mathbf{n}, \varphi)$ :

- Unfortunately, also the planar rotation method is not always invertible in a unique fashion. A null rotation does not have a well defined axis of rotation.
- Hence, this method should only be used if the axis of rotation is always known, as in a revolute joint.



#### **Quaternions Q**



- Quaternions are an extension of complex numbers and offer a robust way to describe any rotation. A quaternion number consists of one real and three imaginary components, denoted by i, j and k.
- The imaginary components can be summarized by a vector **u**.

$$Q = c + ui + vj + wk. = c + \mathbf{u}$$

• The multiplication rules for the imaginary components are as follows:

$$ij = k; ji = -k; i^2 = -1$$
  
 $jk = i; kj = -i; j^2 = -1$   
 $ki = j; ik = -j; k^2 = -1$ 

© Dirk Zimmer, December 2014, Slide 11

#### **Quaternions Q**



• So the product of two quaternions can be written as:

$$QQ' = (c+\mathbf{u})(c'+\mathbf{u}') = (cc'-\mathbf{u}\cdot\mathbf{u}') + (\mathbf{u}\times\mathbf{u}') + c\mathbf{u}' + c'\mathbf{u}$$

• The complement of a quaternion number is defined to be:

$$\bar{Q} = c + \bar{\mathbf{u}} = c - \mathbf{u}$$

 The product of a quaternion number with its complement results in its norm:

$$|Q| = c^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2$$

• A unit quaternion is a quaternion of norm 1.

$$|Q| = c^2 + |\mathbf{u}|^2 = 1$$

#### **Quaternions Q**



• According to the trigonometric Pythagoras...

$$\cos(\varphi/2)^2 + \sin(\varphi/2)^2 = 1$$

• there is an angle  $\varphi$  for every unit quaternion such that:

 $c = cos(\varphi/2)$  and  $|\mathbf{u}| = sin(\varphi/2)$ 

- It is now evident how a unit quaternion can be used to describe an orientation. The idea is related to the planar rotation. The imaginary component **u** describes the axis, and the length of the axis describes the rotation angle.
- The rotation matrix is then defined by:

$$\mathbf{R} = 2\mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T + 2(\tilde{\mathbf{u}}\cdot c) + 2c^2\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{I}$$



- So which of the four methods shall we apply?
- The answer is: **all of them**
- The rotational matrix is highly redundant but purely linear.
   → It is used in the connector
- Cardan angles can be used for a spherical joint if the motion is limited to non-singular (or ill-conditioned) areas.

→ Free rotational motion, spherical joint

- Planar rotation is used when the rotational axis is known.
   → Revolute Joint
- Quaternions are the methods that avoids any singularity with the slightest degree of redundancy. (But leads to non-linear equations)

→ Free rotational motion, spherical joint

#### **Motion in 3D**



- In planar mechanics,  $\omega$  was the derivative of  $\varphi$ .
- In 3D mechanics, this is not so easy anymore.  $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  represents a vector.
- $|\omega|$  represents the actual angular velocity
- $\omega / |\omega|$  is the unit-vector of the rotation axis.
- $\boldsymbol{\omega}$  can either be resolved w.r.t. the inertial frame ( $\boldsymbol{\omega}_0$ ) or w.r.t to the body frame ( $\boldsymbol{\omega}_{body}$ ).
- The body frame is the coordinate system attached to the body.

### **Motion in 3D: Rotation Matrix**

• The rotational matrix is the one to integrate:

$$\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{0}\mathbf{R} = \mathbf{R}\tilde{\boldsymbol{\omega}}_{body} = \dot{\mathbf{R}}$$

• This generates 9 differential equations and is thus never used.



$$\mathbf{R}\,\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = \boldsymbol{\omega}_{body} = \mathbf{n}\cdot\dot{\varphi}$$

• 1 differential equations

#### **Motion in 3D: Cardan Angles**

The rotation matrix **R** results out of a planar rotation:



**Robotics and Mechatronics Centre** 

#### **Motion in 3D: Planar Rotation**



**Robotics and Mechatronics Centre** 

• The rotation matrix **R** results out of the cardan angles:

$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{body} = \dot{\varphi_z} + \mathbf{R}_z \dot{\varphi_y} + \mathbf{R}_z \mathbf{R}_y \dot{\varphi_x}$$
$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_0 = \dot{\varphi_x} + \mathbf{R}_x^T \dot{\varphi_y} + \mathbf{R}_x^T \mathbf{R}_y^T \dot{\varphi_z}$$

• 3 differential equations (non-redundant)

#### **Motion in 3D: Quaternions**

• The rotation matrix **R** results out of the quaternion rotation:

$$\omega_{body} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} c & -w & v & u \\ w & c & -u & v \\ -v & u & c & w \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \dot{c} \\ \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \\ \dot{w} \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\omega_0 = 2 \begin{pmatrix} c & w & -v & u \\ -w & c & u & v \\ v & -u & c & w \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \dot{c} \\ \dot{u} \\ \dot{v} \\ \dot{w} \end{pmatrix}$$

• 4 differential equations (1 redundant causes dynamic state selection)



**Robotics and Mechatronics Centre** 



• The choice of a method can severely impact the simulation performance:



• This experiment was simulated 3 times with a different method for the orientation: 1) well chosen cardan angles, 2) badly chosen cardan angles 3) quaternions



**Robotics and Mechatronics Centre** 

• The choice of a method can severely impact the simulation performance:



• This experiment was simulated 3 times with a different method for the orientation: 1) well-chosen cardan angles, 2) badly chosen cardan angles 3) quaternions



• The choice of a method can severely impact the simulation performance:

	good cardan angle seq.		quaternions		bad cardan angle seq.	
tolerance	error	steps	error	steps	error	steps
$1.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{3}$	$5.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{4}$	$1.8 \cdot 10^{-0}$	$5.4 \cdot 10^4$
$1.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$9.7 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$6.2 \cdot 10^{3}$	$3.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$4.8\cdot10^4$	$2.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$9.5 \cdot 10^{4}$
$1.0 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1.4\cdot 10^4$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$8.4\cdot10^4$	$3.5 \cdot 10^{-5}$	$2.0 \cdot 10^{5}$
$1.0\cdot10^{-10}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.3 \cdot 10^4$	$1.1 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$1.4\cdot 10^5$	$3.0 \cdot 10^{-6}$	$4.4 \cdot 10^{5}$

• The choice drastically impacts the computational efficiency and the precision.

# **Questions ?**